

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

... United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/767,633	01/29/2004	Richard S. Smith	59503US002	5407
32692	7590 08/22/2006		EXAM	INER
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			MARCHESCHI, MICHAEL A	
	N 55133-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
-			1755	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/767,633	SMITH ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael A. Marcheschi	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	 ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this 	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later II
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 706.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount eshortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	,
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>the added limitations raise new issues</u> . (\$4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	` ','	omnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / monament	(I TOL-02+).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· ——	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,17 and 18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16</u> .			·
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	t be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s)	•

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Michael A Marcheschi Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755